Ecology of the invasive Asian clam *Corbicula fluminea* (Müller, 1774) in aquatic ecosystems: an overview
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The Asian clam *Corbicula fluminea* is one of the most invasive species in freshwater aquatic ecosystems. The rapid growth, earlier sexual maturity, short life span, high fecundity and its association with human activities makes *C. fluminea* a non-indigenous invasive species likely to colonize new environments. This species, originally distributed in Asiatic ecosystems, is now a common inhabitant of American and European freshwater habitats. The present paper reviews the information related to the life cycle, ecology and potential ecological and economic impacts caused by *C. fluminea* in the invaded habitats. Furthermore, this paper also proposed future works that may be implemented in order to increase our general knowledge about the ecology of this bivalve.
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Introduction

The accidental or deliberate introduction and subsequent spread of non-indigenous invasive species (NIS) has become a serious ecological, conservational and economic problem. These NIS are altering the terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems at unprecedented rates (Carlton & Geller 1993, Lodge et al. 1998, Cox 2004) and are now one of the most important environmental problems concerning the scientific community (Sala et al. 2000). In fact, species diversity and distribution were never spatial or temporally stationary. However, in the last years species are being dispersed across their natural geographic barriers through human-mediated activities such as global trade, agriculture, aquaculture, recreational activities and transportation (Cohen & Carlton 1998, Ricciardi & MacIsaac 2000, Cox 2004).

Scientists interested in biological invasions have difficulties describing the fundamental characteristics responsible for the invasive success of some non-indigenous species, and the evolutionary and ecological principles behind the success of invasions in new environments have always been highly debated (Occhipinti-Ambrogi 2007). Generally, for invasive animal species the most important characteristics to be successful in the new habitat are: great geographical distribution with potential ability to colonize a vast range of habitats; considerable genetic variability and phenotypic plasticity; physiological tolerance to abiotic changes; short generation times, rapid growth, rapid sexual maturity and great fecundity; opportunistic behaviour (r-strategists); fertilized females able to colonize alone; and association with human activities and high dispersal potential (Lodge 1993, Alcaraz et al. 2005, Céréghino et al. 2005). However, the fundamental role of propagule pressure (i.e. introduction effort, which is related to the total number of individuals introduced in conjunction to the number of introductions attempts) is central to the success of NIS establishment and increases the probabilities of dispersion. Despite their significance, this hypothesis only recently gained a determinant importance in the biological invasion theory (Ruiz et al. 2000, Ruesink 2005, Colautti et al. 2006, Ricciardi 2007).

The Asian clam *Corbicula fluminea* is considered one of the most important faunal NIS in aquatic ecosystems. In the last few decades, studies of *C. fluminea* have shown both a considerable geographic dispersion and invasive behaviours (Mouthon 1981, Araujo et al. 1993, McMahon 2000). The invasive success and subsequent dispersion of *C. fluminea* relies more on their natural
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characteristics (e.g. rapid growth, earlier sexual maturity, short life span, high fecundity, extensive dispersal capacities and its association with human activities) than in its physiological tolerance (McMahon 2002). In fact, this NIS when compared, for example, with other freshwater bivalve species appears to be less tolerant to environmental fluctuations such as elevated temperatures, hypoxia, emersion, low pH and low calcium concentrations (Byrne & McMahon 1994, McMahon 2000, Johnson & McMahon 1998, McMahon & Bogan 2001, Sousa et al. 2007b, 2008).

This paper revises the data available for *Corbicula fluminea* discussing the general life cycle characteristics, the potential ecological and economic impacts caused in invaded habitats and proposes future works that may be implemented to increase our general knowledge about the ecology of this bivalve.

**Invasion history**

The original distribution of the *Corbicula* genus was confined, in the beginning of the last century, to Asia, Africa and Australia and since then it has dispersed worldwide (Mouthon 1981, Counts 1986, Araujo et al. 1993, Ituarte 1994, McMahon 2000). The first documented occurrence of this genus outside its original distribution was in the Pacific coast of United States in the 1920s, possibly being introduced by Chinese immigrants as a food resource (Counts 1981). Forty years later, its distribution extended to the Atlantic coast of the United States. In South America, this genus was first recognized around the 1970s (Ituarte 1994) and in Europe its presence was described for the first time by Mouthon (1981). Complicating the picture, species from the *Corbicula* genus were also present in the fossil record of North America and Europe before the last glaciation (Araujo et al. 1993). However, the specific classification of these fossil individuals is very difficult, a fact that may be easily understood considering the taxonomic problems that still exist (see below). Consequently, recent invasions could be seen as a re-colonization process of earlier habitats and not as a true invasion (Pfenninger et al. 2002). If this perspective is correct, it seems that only in the last decades this genus found the necessary conditions to re-colonize the earlier habitats, coupled with increased chances of re-colonization through the vector of human activities. Another alternative hypothesis is the possible retention and a subsequent dispersion of *Corbicula* specimens from refuge areas such as South European ecosystems which were not subjected to glaciations processes. However, given the present rate of dispersion it is difficult to understand the reason why the species did not begin the re-colonization from the refuge areas before (but see Karatayev et al. 2007) with the suggestion that the spread of freshwater NIS bivalves’ species was not a continuous process, but somewhat punctuated by periods of rapid long distance spread.

The introduction and subsequent dispersion of *Corbicula fluminea* in aquatic ecosystems is probably a result of various human activities (e.g. ballast water transport, food resource, utilization of specimens as fish bait, aquarium releases, transport of juveniles and/or adults as a tourist curiosity or the juvenile byssal attachment to boat hulls) (McMahon 2000, 2002, Darrigran 2002, Lee et al. 2005). Additionally, *C. fluminea* has extensive capacities for natural dispersion since the pediveliger and juveniles are passively transported by fluvial or tidal currents, being also transported on the feet or feathers of aquatic birds (Prezant & Chalermwat 1984, McMahon 2000, 2002). This kind of natural transportation may have a fundamental importance in the magnitude of secondary introductions (Figuerola & Green 2002, Green & Figuerola 2005).

**Morphometry and genetics**

Considerable controversy exists about the number of *Corbicula* species present in European and American freshwater ecosystems, to which taxon they belong and where they originated (Pfenninger et al. 2002). This controversy is related to the complicated taxonomical classification in the *Corbicula* genus due to the marked variation in shell morphology, colour and reproductive biology (Komaru & Konishi 1999, Rajagopal et al. 2000, Renard et al. 2000, Siripattrawan et al. 2000, Qiu et al. 2001, Park et al. 2002, Pfenninger et al. 2002, Park & Kim 2003, Lee et al. 2005, Sousa et al. 2007a). In French and Dutch rivers, Renard et al. (2000) based on morphometric variation and genetic analysis described three morphotypes that were classified as *C. fluminea*, *C. fluminalis* and another species for which a specific name was not assigned (being referred as *Corbicula spec.*). The results of Pfenninger et al. (2002), with material collected in the River Rhine recognized the presence of two *Corbicula* lineages: one corresponding to *C. fluminea* and the other to *Corbicula spec.* as defined by Renard et al. (2000). Additionally, the results of Sousa et al. (2007a) show clear morphometric differences in individuals colonizing two adjacent Portuguese estuarine ecosystems, although the two populations share similar mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene (mtCOI) sequences that correspond to *C. fluminea* sensu Renard et al. (2000). However, the results obtained by Park & Kim (2003) with specimens from the native dis-
trIBUTION range (and comparison with non-native mtCOI sequences) give additional information about the different lineages inside the Corbicula genus. According to these authors, C. fluminalis sensu Renard et al. (2000) belongs also to the freshwater Corbicula lineage. These results may introduce several changes in our knowledge about the Corbicula distribution in European ecosystems because we may have several lineages belonging to the freshwater clade [e.g. may be the same species: C. flu-
minea sensu Renard et al. (2000)] but with several races/morphotypes with origin in Asia and/or North America. In American ecosystems the same controversy still exists and Siripattrawan et al. (2000), based in mtCOI gene analysis, established the presence of two species (classified as C. fluminea and C. leana). However, Lee et al. (2005) with a study conducted in 12 sites distributed for North and South American freshwater ecosystems do not attribute a specific name to the different morphotypes analysed. Given the actual confusion inside this thematic, all these morphometric and genetic complications have to be studied in the future in order to elucidate the number of species inside the Corbicula genus. These studies will also be very informative for the clarification of the routes of introduction and for the invasion dynamics management in future invaded ecosystems.

**Life cycle**

Species from the Corbicula genus comprise different reproductive modes which have been related to its large ecological spectrum (Morton 1986, Rajagopal et al. 2000, Korniushin & Glaubrecht 2003). Additionally, several unusual features of reproductive biology, such as polyploidy, unreductional biflagellate sperm, androgenesis and clonality were observed in this genus (Komaru & Konishi 1996, 1999, Komaru et al. 1997, Qiu et al. 2001).

*C. fluminea* (Fig. 1a) is generally described as a hermaphroditic species. The fertilization occurs inside the paleal cavity and larvae are incubated in branchial water tubes (Fig. 1b). However, studies done by Rajagopal et al. (2000) in the putative *C. fluminalis* (which is classified by Park & Kim (2003) as another freshwater *Corbicula* morphotype) show that the specimens that colonized the River Rhine are dioecious (with 3% of hermaphrodites). Another interesting characteristic of this species deals with the embryonic nutrition of brooding individuals, which remain uncertain. According to Kraemer & Galloway (1986) and Byrne et al. (2000), eggs of *Corbicula* are rich in nutrients that are essential for the developing embryos. Additionally, the interlamellar junctions of inner demibranchs in *C. fluminea* and *C. australis* were found to be modified, which presumably serve as alter-native source of nutrition for embryos (Byrne et al. 2000). After this protective period, larvae are released into the water, settle and bury into the substratum (Cataldo & Boltovskoy 1999, McMahon 2000). When *C. fluminea* juveniles are released, they have small dimensions (around 250 µm) but completely formed with a well developed shell, adductor muscles, foot, statocysts, gills and digestive system and have the usual D-shaped configuration (Fig. 1c) (McMahon 2002). After the water column release, juveniles anchor to sediments, vegetation or hard surfaces due to the presence of a mucilaginous byssal thread. These juveniles can also be re-suspended by turbulent flows and dispersed for long distances, principally in the downstream direction (McMahon 2000). The maturation period occurs within the first 3 to 6 months when the shell length reaches 6 to 10 mm (Fig. 1d). The life span of this species is extremely variable, ranging from 1 to 5 years, with usual bivoltine juvenile release pattern (McMahon 2000). However, the number of annual reproductive periods changes from ecosystem to ecosystem. The majority of studies concluded that this species reproduces twice a year: one occasion in the spring going through the summer and the other starting in late summer and going through the autumn. In contrast, some studies found only one reproductive period, while in others three were found, with differences among years even in the same site (Doherty et al. 1987, Darrigran 2002). These fluctuations in the number of reproductive events may be related with water temperature (Hornbach 1992, Rajagopal et al. 2000, Mouthon 2001b) and/or with the food resources available in the
ecosystem (Cataldo & Boltovskoy 1999, Mouthon 2001a and b).

*C. fluminea* grows rapidly, in part due to its high filtration and assimilation rates (McMahon 2002). The major part of its energy is allocated to growth and reproduction and only a small portion is devoted to respiration (McMahon 2002). According to this author, this species has the highest net production efficiencies recorded for any freshwater bivalve, reflected by short turnover times of only 73–91 days.

Like other freshwater bivalve species, *C. fluminea* transferred only a small percentage of assimilated energy to reproduction. Nevertheless, its elevated assimilation rates allow a high absolute energy transfer to reproduction when compared with other freshwater bivalves. *C. fluminea* has a high fecundity but a low juvenile survivorship and a high mortality rate throughout life span. This low adult survivorship leads to populations dominated by high proportions of juveniles (McMahon 2000, 2002). Anyway, in some ecosystems this population domination by immature juveniles is not so effective and the presence of adults in high abundance and having large sizes has been reported (Boltovskoy et al. 1997, Sousa et al. 2005, 2007b, 2008, in press, Cooper et al. 2007)

The principal life history characteristics of *C. fluminea* are summarised in Table 1.

Possible ecological effects

The introduction of NIS is a serious threat to the native biodiversity and ecosystem functioning with potential repercussions in food webs, biogeochemical cycles and human economy (Kolar & Lodge 2001, Grosholz 2002).

The great invasive and reproductive capacity of *C. fluminea* makes this species an important component of aquatic ecosystems. Usually, *C. fluminea* introductions have consequences to other elements of the ecosystem including submerged vegetation, phytoplankton, zooplankton and higher trophic levels (Table 2) (Phelps 1994, Johnson & McMahon 1998, Strayer 1999, Cherry et al. 2005, Cooper et al. 2005, Sousa et al. 2005, 2007b, 2008, in press). A revision of several studies shows that the invasion of *C. fluminea* has negatively impacted native bivalve abundance and diversity in North American and European freshwater ecosystems (Araujo et al. 1993, Williams et al. 1993, Strayer 1999, Aldridge & Muller 2001, McMahon 2002, Sousa et al. 2005, 2006a, 2006b, 2007b, 2008, in press). The ancient bivalve fauna of European and North American rivers was dominated by freshwater mussels from the Margaritiferidae and Unionidae families and small clams from the Sphaeriidae family. For example, the freshwater mussel species were very common in stable substrates but nowadays this ancient bivalve fauna is at risk in the principal European rivers (Reis 2003, Geist & Kuehn 2005), being also of conservational concern in North American freshwater habitats (Lydeard et al. 2004, Strayer et al. 2004). In contrast, several worldwide freshwater ecosystems are now colonized by non-indigenous invasive bivalve species (e.g. *C. fluminea*, *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Limnoperna fortunei*) that replaced the native forms very quickly. The principal problem of the recent freshwater bivalve species invasions, such as *C. fluminea*, resides in the potential change in the ecological conditions of the invaded ecosystems. *Corbicula* species potentially affect native bivalve fauna in several ways: burrowing and bioturbation activity, principally at high abundances, may displace and/or reduce available habitats for juvenile unionids and sphaeriids (Vaughn & Hakenkamp 2001); suspension and deposit feeding by *Corbicula* may negatively impact unionid juvenile recruitment (Yeager et al. 1994, Hakenkamp & Palmer 1999); dense populations of *Corbicula* may ingest large numbers of unionids sperm, glochidia and newly metamorphosed juveniles (Strayer 1999); *Corbicula* may advantageously compete for food resources with sphaeriids and juvenile unionids since they have larger filtration rates, on a per biomass basis, than sphaeriids and unionids and consequently have the potential to limit planktonic food available to native bivalves (McMahon 1991). However, the reasons behind these negative impacts in the native fauna remain speculative and further manipulative research is needed to clarify these ecological interactions and impacts. Additionally, this invasive species can be a vector of introduction of new parasites and diseases to the biotic components of invaded ecosystems. Negative interactions with human activities have also been described after the introduction of this species (e.g. biofouling of water channels and raw water systems of factories and power stations and problems created for sand companies) (Darrigran 2002).

Positive effects (Table 2) are also expected to occur in invaded ecosystems since this species can provide habitats to other organisms (e.g. empty shells provide substrates or refuge for algae, gastropods, freshwater sponges, or other benthic species) (Crooks 2002, Gutiérrez et al. 2003) and be a new food resource for several pelagic and benthic species (Cantanhêde et al. 2008). Species from higher trophic levels are expected to consume *C. fluminea* and its high abundance and biomass may be a very important food source in many aquatic ecosystems. Fishes, birds and mammals are potential con-
sumers, although this perspective has not been fully exploited in ecological studies performed with this species in invaded habitats.

Repercussions on biogeochemical cycles are also expected and the classification of these impacts as positive or negative are very difficult and could depend on the specific characteristics of the invaded ecosystem. *C. fluminea* is a very efficient ecosystem engineer, altering the structure and function of invaded habitats (Crooks 2002, Karatayev et al. 2007). When bivalves are the major component of a certain habitat they strongly couple the benthic and water column environments, consuming large amounts of primary producers, by filtering water at high rates. Through active feeding on particulate organic matter, filter-feeding bivalves can control phytoplankton standing stocks, primary production, water clarity, nutrient cycling, nature of food webs and sedimentation rates of particulate matter in habitats where they are abundant (Yamamuro & Koike 1993, 1994, Gerritsen et al. 1994, Phelps 1994, Dame 1996, Ricciardi et al. 1997, Strayer et al. 1999, Nakamura & Kerciku 2000, Gangnery et al. 2001, Kohata et al. 2003, Ruesink et al. 2005). *C. fluminea* is also recognized by their pedal feeding with consequential alterations in the abiotic characteristics of the top layer of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life history characteristics</th>
<th><em>C. fluminea</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life span</td>
<td>1 to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at maturity</td>
<td>3 to 9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive mode</td>
<td>Hermaphroditic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth rate</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecundity</td>
<td>68 678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile size release</td>
<td>250 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of broods</td>
<td>Inner demibranchs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of released larvae (juveniles)</td>
<td>D-shaped configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of brooding</td>
<td>Synchronous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile survivorship</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult survivorship</td>
<td>Usually low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reproductive events</td>
<td>Usually two but may vary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilated energy respired</td>
<td>11 - 42 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-respired energy transferred to growth</td>
<td>58 - 71 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-respired energy transferred to reproduction</td>
<td>5 - 15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover time</td>
<td>73 - 91 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat requirements</td>
<td>Intolerant to high salinity values and even moderate hypoxia conditions (this species is usually restricted to well-oxygenated areas). Tolerate low water temperatures and prefer sandier sediments mixed with silt and clay (which enhance the organic matter content). However, in some ecosystems this species can be found in all types of sediments (with or without submerged vegetation) (Sousa et al. 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Positive and negative effects that may occur after *C. fluminea* introduction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive effects</th>
<th>Negative effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelter and substrate for other species (Crooks 2002, Gutiérrez et al. 2003);</td>
<td>Displace and/or reduce available habitat for other species (Vaughn &amp; Hakenkamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food resource for pelagic and benthic species (Cantanhêde et al. 2008);</td>
<td>2001);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce euthrophication processes due to high filtration rates (Phelps 1994,</td>
<td>Suspension and deposit feeding by <em>C. fluminea</em> may negatively impact the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMahon 2002);</td>
<td>recruitment of other species (e.g. juvenile unionids, sphaeriids) (Yeager et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase water clarity due to the high filtration rates which may enhance the</td>
<td>1994, Hakenkamp &amp; Palmer 1999);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submerged vegetation cover (Phelps 1994);</td>
<td>Competition for benthic food resources with other species (Sousa et al. 2005);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioindicator species for ecotoxicological studies (Doherty 1990, Inza et al. 1997,</td>
<td>High filtration rates, which can be responsible to limit planktonic food to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataldo et al. 2001b).</td>
<td>other species and may ingest large numbers of unionids sperm, glochidia and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>newly metamorphosed juveniles (McMahon 1991, Strayer 1999);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vector of parasites and pathogens;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massive mortalities that eventually occurred in specific environmental conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are disastrous for other biotic components and may affect water quality (Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>al. 2007b, 2008);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bioaccumulation and bioamplification of contaminants (Narbonne et al. 1999, Tran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>et al. 2001, Cataldo et al. 2001a and b, Achard et al. 2004);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biofouling (Darrigran 2002).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sediments. At the same time, there is growing evidence that bivalves also excrete large amounts of inorganic nutrients, mainly inorganic nitrogen, at the form of faeces and pseudofaeces (Asmus & Asmus 1991). This release of nutrients can stimulate primary production by submerged vegetation and phytoplankton (Phelps 1994, Yamamuro & Koike 1994, Dame 1996). Additionally, in some ecosystems and principally in summer months, the combination of several factors (e.g. increasing temperature, low flow conditions, decrease dissolved oxygen, the presence of great quantities of organic matter, decrease in the redox potential) may cause massive mortalities in benthic species, including *C. fluminea* (Johnson & McMahon 1998, Strayer 1999, Cherry et al. 2005, Cooper et al. 2005, Sousa et al. 2007b, 2008). This occurrence can abruptly cause massive mortalities in all the benthic fauna, also affecting the water quality. Usually, the *C. fluminea* population rapidly recovers reaching previous abundance and distribution while native species usually take a long time to react (Sousa et al. 2007b, 2008). Therefore, this phenomenon could act in favour of *C. fluminea* and against native species, and may determine and/or accelerate the extirpation of some native species.
**Corbicula fluminea** as a freshwater bioindicator species

In the last years the utilization of bivalves as bioindicator species became a common tool to assess biological impacts of some pollutants in estuarine and coastal areas. At the same time the use of bivalves in freshwater ecosystems for similar purposes has not been so common. The recent introduction of NIS in some ecosystems makes possible to utilize these species as bioindicators because they have great abundance and possess good ecotoxicological characteristics. For example, the zebra mussel *D. polymorpha* has been frequently used to assess potential environmental impacts in freshwater ecosystems. *C. fluminea* seems to be a very interesting species from an ecotoxicological point of view because it has some appealing characteristics that could justified its use in this kind of studies, namely: *i*) this species has become a major component of benthic communities in several lotic and lentic habitats in different regions of the world and, thus, it has a wide spatial distribution; *ii*) it may be found in both pristine and polluted environments; *iii*) nowadays presents a very strong invasive dynamics in rivers, channels and lakes where it reaches very high abundance (Phelps 1994, Sousa et al. 2008); *iv*) this bivalve is easily maintained in the laboratory for several months and may be transplanted into field conditions using caging procedures (Cataldo et al. 2001a); *v*) this species has a great filtration capacity allowing the uptake of large amounts of pollutants, *vi*) several field studies have shown that *C. fluminea* is a good bioindicator of heavy metals or other contaminants (Doherty 1990, Inza et al. 1997, Cataldo et al. 2001b) and *vii*) the size of adults makes possible the dissection and separation of the main organs allowing specific analysis. The combination of all these traits and its ability to bioaccumulate and bioamplify several contaminants make *C. fluminea* a very convenient model in ecotoxicology (Way et al. 1990, Bassack et al. 1997, Baudrimont et al. 1997a and b, 2003, Inza et al. 1997, Narbonne et al. 1999, Tran et al. 2001, Cataldo et al. 2001a and b, Achard et al. 2004). Additionally, due to their ubiquitous distribution, this species can serve as a basis of worldwide comparisons of environmental monitoring data in freshwater ecosystems as the same manner as *Mytilus* spp. in marine environments.

**Conclusion and future studies**

*C. fluminea* is recognized as one of the most important invasive macrozoobenthic species in aquatic ecosystems, colonizing lentic and lotic habitats worldwide. The factors responsible for its great and successful invasive behaviour seem to reside further in their r-strategy and association with human activities than in great physiological capacities.

Given the large invasive potential of this NIS, it is essential to increase the research effort using new methodologies to reduce the negative impact of this NIS in invaded ecosystems, including in biodiversity (particularly in what concerns native bivalves of high conservational importance). General models trying to find patterns of distribution along large scales and establishing relationships between *C. fluminea* abundance and/or biomass and abiotic factors will be very informative for future risk analysis. Manipulative studies are also necessary in order to increase our knowledge about important ecological processes mediated by *C. fluminea* that can be responsible for changes in the ecosystem functioning (e.g. ecosystem engineering and facilitation processes, competition, parasitism, predation, filtration rates, secondary production). This information will be vital for the adoption of mitigation measures in early phases of the invasion and to reduce its negative ecological and economic impacts. In habitats where the presence of the species is effective, with great abundance and biomass, works on methods to eradicate or to control this NIS are needed to support management measures. As well, it is essential to minimize any form of transport of this species to other freshwater ecosystems not yet colonized. These situations are almost impossible to resolve, have large economic costs and potential tremendous impacts to the other biota components. However, in the last years some solutions like biological (Zavaleta et al. 2001) or chemical (Aldridge et al. 2006) management have arisen as a possible answer. Future studies have also to resolve some uncertainties in relation to the *Corbicula* genus taxonomy, as well as the origin, sources and pathways of dispersion. An international cooperation is crucial to complement these research efforts. For example, it is fundamental to complete genetic and phylogenetic studies in populations from different ecosystems around the world. Indeed, the systematic of hermaphroditic freshwater *Corbicula* lineages are extraordinarily complex and further research in this topic is necessary. A good cooperation between scientists from the *C. fluminea* native range with scientists from the invaded range will likely yield excellent and unexpected results. In reality, management programs, mitigation measures and eradication efforts on invasive species do only make sense when being undertaken by all affected countries (Gollasch 2007).
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